

REMARKS

Claims 2-3 and 5-12 are currently pending in the present application. Claims 1 and 4 are cancelled. Claims 2, 3, and 5-8 are amended.

DRAWINGS

The drawings stand objected under 37 CFR 1.83(a).

Applicant has cancelled claim 4 from which the drawing objection resulted from, thereby rendering this objection moot. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 4 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabled.

By this amendment, Applicant has cancelled claim 4 thereby rendering this rejection moot. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicant has cancelled claim 4 and amended claim 8 to overcome this rejection. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER


The Examiner states that claims 5-7 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly, Applicant has rewritten claim 7 in independent form and amended claims 2-3, 5-6 and 8 to depend from claim 7. Therefore, claims 2-3 and 5-12 should now be in condition for allowance.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 08-0750, under Order No. 7347-000007/US/NP from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: Oct 2, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By


G. Gregory Schimley
Registration No. 27,382
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600
Attorney for Applicant